■roved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

NATIONAL WAR COLLEGE

WASHINGTON. D.C.

20 March 1952



lecture

Common Objectives

ΩУ

Tr. Aller W. Dulles

roved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

Approved For Release 2001/05/05/06/A-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5
SECURITY INFORMATION

SOVILT CEJECTIVES

by

Mr. Allen W. Dulles

Presented at The National War College Washington 25, L. C. 20 March 1952

59354

SECURITY INFORMATION

Approved For Release 2001 SECURITY INFORMATION

SOVIET OBJECTIVES

(20 March 1952)

by

Mr. Allen W. Dulles

MR. PAGE: I think, gentlemen, that continuing our studies with joint war planning, it is most timely to have an expert come here and talk to us on Foviet short and long-term objectives, and to give us an estimate of Soviet capabilities in attaining these objectives. All of you who have been engaged in the studies know how difficult it is to gauge Soviet intentions and capabilities, and in doing so, how necessary it is to take into careful consideration Soviet ideology and the characteristics of the sussian people. Today Mr. Dulles of C.I.I. has come here and is undertaking to assist us in our studies with this problem.

As you have read from his biography, Er. Dulles has had a long and distinguished career in the State Department, the Council on Foreign Relations, in U.S.S. during the war, and now with the C.I.A.

Mr. Pulles, it is a great pleasure to welcome you here today.

War College: It is a great pleasure to be here today. I have often looked forward to the time when I would have an opportunity to address this august and learned body, but I didn't know that I would have a subject such as has been given to me today. When it was first suggested to ze, I indicated to Colonel Lowen that I was quite incompetent to handle the subject, and I have slowly pared it down, bit by bit, cutting

SECRET FECURITY INFORMATION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5 off certain of its m litary angles on which I did not feel competent to speak; still I have left myself quite a bit to cover in three-quarters of an hour.

Because of the scope of the subject, I have put down a few notes that I shall follow more or less in order to keep on the track and try to bring us to some conclusions. May I say before I start that I am speaking here an an individual rather than trying to give the party line of C.I.A. or intelligence in general. I will admit to having read, before I came here, the national estimates that cover the particular range of the subject I am to handle, and I am in accord with those estimates; but what I say may go somewhat beyond them.

Soviet objectives and their view of the means for achieving them can be stated briefly. They are: the extension of the Toviet Communist system throughout the world, by the revolutionary process, ending with what the Coviet leaders describe as World Revolution.

Soviet theory does not admit of the possibility of a permanent stopping place short of this objective. There are, of course, stages. There is no intermediate basis of final compromise. Their short term objectives, involving the piecemeal weakening and dividing of the opposition, merge into their ultimate aims. No step is taken if it would endanger the homeland base of the Toviet revolution and its control of the revolutionary movement.

In my opinion, the foviet time scale is indeterminate. The goal does not have to be reached within any particular period of years. What they look to is progress. If they have to temporise or even

SECRET SECURITY INFURIATION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5 retreat, they then seek a hasis for future progress.

In this respect the Soviet program differs vitally from that of the Nazis. Hitler, you remember, was in a tremendous hurry. He had to achieve all of his ambitions within a very limited period, and then of course he felt his Thousand Year meich would be solidly anchored. You may recall the ranting speech he made to his generals just before the attack on coloni, when he pointed out that he had to move, he couldn't writ -- he had to achieve the objectives during his prime of life.

What might happen if the coviet felt themselver definitely thwarted in carrying forward with their chosen revolutionary tactics is a question one ought to consider, but I don't think it needs make us pause at this point. Today we are not faced with that state of facts. Coviet techniques are working in a way which must satisfy even the men in the Kremlin. Unfortunately, I see no early likelihood of a drastic change in this situation.

I was asked to comment on the comparison between Loviet policies and Tarrist imperialism. I find them of quite a different character. Tarrist aims, though ambitious, were limited and largely pragmatic. They had practical ambitions to reach the warm sees, the Fersian Gulf, the Rediterranean and the Atlantic, and in the last, the Yellow Sex. They had no global ctrategy and no philosophy of world wide application. In certain respects the Poviet forward march follows many of the lines of Tsarist imperialism, but I think this is a coincidence of geography rather than of ideology.

For our progaganda purposes, it may be useful to talk of

ECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Sevict imperialism and compare Stalin to Ivan the Terri le or Catherine the Great, but basically I find little similarity between the two.

As I have suggested above, it is quite unreal to attribute territorial or time limitations to the program of Communism as the Toviet view it.

It is just as unreal to do this as it is to suggest that there are limitations on the spread of the Christian faith, or for that matter, of any other great religious or philosophical concepts. They just haven't goegraphical limitation. The loviet preaches the inevitability of world revolution. By its very definition, there is no territorial limitation.

As Stalin sets forth so clearly in the "Problems of Leninism, it is important to distinguish she ray between tactics and over-all strategy. Any sort of tactical move may be justified but there is to be no change in the strategic goal.

I had occasion the other night, in thinking over this lecture to pick up again Stalin's "roblems of Laninism." I assume most of you have read it; if you haven't, you ought to read it. It is just as important, I believe, today as it would have been important for us if we had taken the pains to read "rein Kampf" in the '30s. In connection with the Kremlin's recent note touching on German elections etc. I hap, ened to run across a passage in the "Problems of Leminism" that was particularly interesting. talin in one of his speeches to the plenum of the Communist Farty in 1939 was discussing elections, and he said:

"In 1937 Tukhachevaky, Yakir, Utorevich and other fiends were sentenced to be shot. After that, the elections to the Supreme soviet of the U. . . F. were

held. In these elections, 98.6 percent of the total vote was cast for the Soviet power. 't the beginning of 1938 Fosemolts, Rykov, Eukherin and other fiends were sentenced to be shot. After that, the elections to the Supreme Soviets of the Union Republics were held. In these elections 99.4 percent of the total vote was cast for the Soviet power. Where are the Symtoms of 'demoralisation," we would like to know, and why was this 'demoralisation' not reflected in the results of the elections?"

That is really the way to run a campaign.

with those who preach world revolution is nonsense. It seems useful to the foviet leaders to expect this idea from time to time to calm us down and, after all, they too recognise and preach the need to compromise on co-existence, for a time. But to their minds there is an inevitability in the downfall of the Capitalist system which makes the concept of co-existence entirely superfluous. This downfall they believe can be brought about by internal rettenness and decay and the resultant revolt of the proletorist. But was is one instrument, but not the chosen instrument of the fariet. In their writings the Communists use the word "revolution," rarely the word "conquest." They don't want to risk destroying their own inheritance or weakening it as the central direction point of the world revolution. War, they feer, would do that.

I have suggested that the chosen instrument of Toviet policy is the fementing and conduct of revolution by all subversive means rather than hot war involving the Toviet itself i.e., evert action by the Soviet to carry on war other than through satellites. I think it is fairly incumbent on anyone who presents such a thesis to state the

- 5 SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

SECURITY INFORMATION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

First, what is the historical precedent? In the 35 years since the Communist revolution in Russis, the oviet only once has taken the initiative in involving its own named forces in a hot war, if we conclude the loviet-lolish skirmish of 1920 and the march into Poland in 1940, neither of which involved any appreciable hot war. The one instance, of course, is the loviet-Finnish war in 1939-ho. I evalude, of course, foviet wars by proxy and through satellites, as illustrated to the wars in North Korea and Indo-China. War ty proxy is a favorite foviet technique to help along world revolution while keeping the "home base" secure -- barring accidents.

The war against Finland, I think, requires some analysis. I remember very well an off-the-record discussion with Litvinoff sometime early in 1942, after a dinner at which he had discoursed on the pacific intentions of the lovist. He called for questions and one bold man — there were only a few of us there — got up and said, "How do you reconcile all of these beautiful principles you have been talking about with your brutal attack on Finland?" Litvinoff had a ready answer. I gather he was prepared for the question, although it wasn't a planted one.

He said that at the time of the attack on Finland, the Sovietz were apprehensive about whit Hitler would do, and that if Leningred and the approaches to Murmansk and Archangel were not protected, in the event of a war the Soviet would be in an impossible situation — it would be cut off entirely from the north. Therefore, he said, it was absolutely necessary for them at that time to some the approaches

SICRET FIGURITY INFORM TION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5 to Leningrad, and that could only be done by wer against Finland.

This and this alone, he said, was the purpose of the Finnish war.

He might have said this was also one of the rain purposes of the absorption of the lattic states.

ments, I was interested to lind an instruction that the German Foreign Office sent out to its diplomatic representatives, making an emburrassed explanation of why the Germans were supporting the loviet in their attack on Finland. The German Foreign Minister said their diplomate should explain it was essential for the Soviet to protect the approaches to Leningrad. If Elithentro had looked shead a few years — in fact, only a year — he would protacly have been rather disappointed that he had justified a tactical move of the Coviet which was one of the reasons for the German defect in Eussia.

Soviet motivation as far as the Finnish war is concerned, it is nevertheless a fact that this is the one case during those 35 years of the world's most troublous times, where the Poviet has openly and of ensively sent its own forces into hot war. Of course during its early days, one could explain the cautious attitude of the loviet by their military and economic weakness. They just couldn't do it, however, the cint of Soviet historical precedent has to my mind some weight if we are careful to keep in mind that this is the usual loviet tactic, not what they are certain to do. Obviously they care little about historical precedent as such, they do not exclude war as one means of attaining their objective, and they cannot control what may happen by accident

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Approved For Release 2001703702: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5
in an overcharged world atmosphere. Efter all, open aggression is
becoming a bit outmoded anyway.

rather than hot war is the immediate Soviet tactic rests on Soviet declarations. "Peace" is stressed in the statements of Toviet leaders as their objective. Insofar as these statements are addressed to the outside world, they can be discounted one hundred percent. But there is such a thing as being caught up by your own propagands. By this time the Toviet peoples have probably become fairly well persuaded that the policy of their leaders is a defensive one and directed solely to meeting the well advertised, so-called encirclement and aggression of the capitalist world. At least the Soviet have talked themselves into a position where to initiate war they would have to invent a case of "defense against aggression" somewhat more plausible than Fitler's disguised Toles of Teptember 1939.

I grant it is very difficult to approise what weight is to be given to public opinion in the Toviet. It is probably a factor the colithuro would have to consider in connection with any plane to start a wer. Today, with the inflated boundaries of Toviet control, any such war would start in the West many hundred, and in the East many thousand, miles distant from any frontiers of the homeland base. It is harder to make this look like defense against aggression to the Soviet citizen.

The statements foviet spokesmen ave made privately, not for public or propagands purposes, have also stressed the viewpoint that hot war is not their chosen instrument. Take, for example, the historic

Berlin conference between Hitler and Molotov in Movember 1940. We have those secret documents. In the course of a discussion of war as a means of achieving national objectives, Molotov remarked "that it was vastly more expensive to attain a goal by military measures than b, peaceful means."

In Soviet writings there is repeated stress upon the fact that war rarely achieves the objectives sought and scorn is shown for the suicidal policy of he caritalistic powers in tearing each other to pieces in fratricidal conflict. The Soviet never objects to seeing others fight, and that applies to allies and to their satellites.

We third point on this general topic is the loviet fear of losing political control of their own military. The men in the Kremlin are apprehensive at the power which a successful military commander in the field right achieve. They also worry over the problem of keeping political control of great armies, particularly if those armies are engaged on foreign soil. It is one thing, in their point of view, to fight on your own soil where close political control can be maintained; it is quite another thing to have armies far afield. Stalin made himself Hershal and became the supreme military authority in his own country in part to draw away attention from the Soviet military heroes of world War II. At least that is my opinion. Most of the military heroes were sorepod after the war or trought into the political hierarchy.

I think that very innocently we had a part in helping to scrap one of these sen. I happened to be in berlin in the fall of 1945 when you may recall we extended a cordial invitation to hershall

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5 Zukov, the here of the conture of Berlin, to visit the United States. Great preparations had been made to receive him with all the ticker tage available in New York, etc. I was skeptical and I bet a small sum that Zukov would never go. It looked as though I was going to lose my bet, livery plan was made. The plane was on the airfield at Tempelhof, practically with its motors revved up. Bukev made very careful precautions to make sure the plane would not be dunked in the see -- he had arranged that General Lucius Clay and Eisenhower's son accompany him. But the day before the plane was to take off, Lukov became ill diplomatically, and shortly thereafter disappeared from his command in Berlin. Since then it has been one of the interesting targets of intelligence to know what he may be doing. That was a typical reaction. The men in the Kremlin were just not going to have Zukov built up any further. He was already built up to a dangerous point and there wasn't going to be any further buildingss far as they were concerned.

The problem of administering occupied countries presents vast difficulties and grave dangers for a system like the Soviet. They do not have the manpower to provide administrators; they do not trust their soldiers after long sojourns in foreign countries and are forced to resort to rapid rotation; furthermore, they do not trust the local population of occupied countries to provide administrators until after a long period of indoctrination and weeding out. And that weeding out is still going on in Osechoslovakia, as you see. This is difficult to accomplish incident to military invasion. The Osechoslovak pattern is for the Soviet the ideal one. Here a whole country came under its

SECRET SICURITY INCREATION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5 domination without a that teing fired and with its industrial machine intact. They prefer to inherit an undestroyed world.

Soviet caution is my fourth point in support of the themis that hot war is not their chosen instrument. In the aggressive actions engineered by the Soviet cince 1945, they have taken the greatest precautions -- particularly recently since our armament has grown -- to avoid incidents involving Soviet personnel, and they do not clearly show their hand other than through the sending of military equipment. It is significant that in the wars in Korca and Indo-China, both of which are master-minical by the Kremlin with Soviet technicians and advisers and simmen covertly aiding, so far as I know, at least in Korca, not a single identifiable oviet body, dead or slive, has been turned up. I don't know exactly how you would identify a person from Inner or Guter Hongolia as a loviet or a Chinese, cut anyway, so fer as I know, we haven't any boviet evidence of that nature.

which seems to me to have some weight. In certain fields -- in aircraft possibly -- it would seem that Soviet amphasis on interce; for
fighters indicates an emphasis on a type of was, on which might be considered primarily defensive. In the 1 to '20s and early '30s I attended five or six disarmament conferences, and I was head of the commission
which was supposed to draw up a list of offensive and defensive military weapons. After five years I came out of it thinking the distinction was complete bunk. The weapons that any particular country
had were defensive weapons in their eyes but the weapons that any
other country had were offensive. But it may be -- and I throw this

- 11 -SECRET SECULITY INFURNATION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

Approved For Release 20070分包至于CIA RDP 78-04718A000600180012-5

out for your consideration -- that there is a type of short-range interceptor that may have more defensive than offensive uses. If it is, as it seems to be, a fact, that the Soviet has recently been concentrating on that type of sirer ft, this is another possible requested to their intentions to initiate clotal war.

Finally, my last point on this particular subject, marely the great successes of the Coviet in the use of subversive techniques, reinforces the other factors in tipping the scale in favor of subversion as the chosen instrument of the Coviet rather than het wer.

Most I want to make it very clear I am not here considering what Soviet policy might be if military action on their part was a mere parade with no military resistance and no likelihood of counter action. That is not the state of Facts today. Obviously the prowing defensive strength of the United States and of the free world, plus our atomic superiority and ability to deliver a devastating counterattack, are vital considerations in turning Soviet policy into channels which they hope will obviate this type of danger. Since our assument speed-up, I venture to say the evidence shows that Soviet coution has been greatly increased. They don't want another miscalculated accident like Korea at this time.

Now I turn to an estimate of Soviet capa illities towards outsining their objectives. If you accept the assumptions that I have given, that the chosen soviet technique of the moment for obtaining their objectives is the use of the various weapons of the cold war, any estimate of Soviet capabilities requires primarily an analysis of their assets and liabilities in the field of political and subversive

Approved For Release 2001703702. CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

action, rather than an analysis of the relative military strength of
the foviet and the non-Soviet world. I personally felt quite incapable
of giving the latter, and that has possibly influenced me somewhat in
choosing this form of approach to the subject.

of diplomacy, the United Nations, propagends and economic pressures, the Cominform and Communist controlled parties outside the Iron ourtain, asbetage, the use of indigenous forces of revolution everywhere, including satellites and stooges. Or went of a better term, I shall refer to these weapons collectively as subversive weapons.

Schooled as they are in the t chniques of revolution, they certainly have capabilities in this field of subversion that surpass those of their potential antagonists, particularly curselves. We have just not prepared ourselves to use, or effectively to counter, these weapons. It goes against our grain and our temperament, ut we shall have to begin to do it.

In Csechoslovakic, in China, in Indo-China and elsewhere they have already shown outstanding skill in preparing the ground for revolution and in fomenting the weaknesses of the non-Communist world. Their propagands is highly effective for their purposes, cased solely on impact with total disreagard for fact. And they are ingenious. Remember the potato ing business of hix or eight months ago when we were supposed to be deluging hastern Europe with potato rugs. Now they have come up with industrial optical warfare. They always get the jump. Truth has no influenc whatever -- merely what will have some impact. While we have seen askeep they have stolen and turned against

SECRET SECURITY INFURE ATTOM

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5 us such sloganc as "reace," "democracy" and "national malian." and they have made the United Nations a high sounding board for their propaganda.

In Western Europe they have their hard cores in the Communist parties and the Communist dominated trade unions, particularly in France and Italy. Even today, after all past experiences, there are many people in France and Italy who have no comprehension whatever of the danger of having these Communist parties representing 25 to 35 percent of the voting population (the lower figure France and the higher Italy) with comparable representation in their legislative bodies, all directed and trained by Communist leaders financed from Soscow. These or, animations are tolerated and even receive types if subsidies from the non-Communist governments in France and Italy.

In my opinion, this is a situation which connot safely be allowed to continue, and I do not feel that adequate steps have been taken by the povernments of France and Italy to deal with it. In both countries you meet the argument -- and there seems to be some truth in it -- that the bulk of these arench and Italian Communist voters are really good Frenchmen and good Italians, misled, but not a national threat. But this fact overlocks their leadership and financing and the ultimate purpose to which they might be driven.

In my opinion, our position in Western surese will not be secure from the den or of subversives thack until the ; ower of these Communist parties is eradicated. Once that is accomplished, the possibility of the Soviet obtaining their own objectives in western hurope by subversion will be minimised, if not entirely climinated.

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

I happen to feel pretty strongly on this point. I think here is a
line of action that can be taken, should be taken, and that we should
get about it. Obviously we can't do it ourselves. We have to bring
influence to ear on those respective power ments. Comething is being
done; more should be done.

In the Middle Last the situation is even more acute. ...ere, relatively small Communist element. link up with the extreme hationalist groups to accomplish the initial Soviet objective of eliminating Western influence. Langerous progress has been made in Iran and Lgypt and in parts of North Africa.

Southeast Isia ; resents a somewhat similar picture. There is a power vacuum in Lurma and hot war in Vietnam where the issue of the control of Indo-thins, turms and Thailand may well to decided in the Tonkin Lasin. If lost, this ray leave the next line of defense at the Kra Isthmus.

here in the Middle last and outheast Asia is comy a tertile field for the use of the chosen instrument of loviet succession.

Of course, it is easy to draw a very black picture and to forget that the Poviet have very distinct limitations even in the field of subversion. They do not have any inexhaustible reserve of clever, trained subversive agents or of the other instruments of subversive warfare. Hence they cannot bring their power to near, even in this field, simultaneously in all parts of the world from the straits of Gibralter through Africa to the hiddle last and Scutheast Asia. Freewally while stirring up what resment they can, they will choose to concentrate on certain key areas; possibly linio-China and Iran which

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

at the moment present the greatest geographical advantages for their type of operations, since each have long frontiers either with the Soviet Union or with Communist dominated China. But even in Iran and Indo-China, the Coviet exercise great deliberation in their actions. They prefer to build slowly and firmly in order to keep control of the revolutionary movements in Moscow.

Here I am making a guess, but I would venture to say, without having very much proof, that over the past three years Chinese Communist successes moved even more rapidly than Moscow desired. In some way it got out of hoscow's hands. The Kremlin does not like the idea to get around that a Communist revolution can be accomplished without their control and guidence and decisive support. The belief, strong in the minds of the Iugoslavs, that they made their own revolution was one of the basic reasons for the independent attitude which Tito was finally able to take toward Moscow, with popular support. If China could eventually be induced to follow the Tito pattern, their pride in their own revolution might be an important factor. when hoscow supported the Chinese in their intervention in North Korea, I venture to suggest that the loviet probably had in mind that it was high time the Chinese should learn then and there the lesson of their dependence on the Soviet in any adventure involving conflict with the west.

To summarise the Soviet capabilities in the field of subversion -- they have very definite limitations in Western Europe. Here, short of an economic degression, it should be possible to take effective counteraction. They have great potentialities in the hiddle Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

Lest and element in heis. In Latin America there is disturbing

information of increased Communist activity, linked to seronist anti
American agitation. Their capabilities seem to be slightly on the wans
in Europe, but not in these other areas.

In this connection, it is interesting to speculate on the real intent of the recent loviet note with regard to Germany. It seems to be the general assumption that it was sent largely for its propaganda effect in trying to melay terman recomment and the tringing of western Germany into the western European alliance. Lut even if it could be taken at help of its face value, it would indicate a Soviet willingness to exchange their present foothold in Lastern Germany for the gamble of dealing with a non-Communist, semirearmed Germany, detached from W.TO, hoping over the long run to draw such a Germany into the Soviet crbit through the lure of trade and also the bribe of eventual territorial apansion at the expense of oland, I don't know whether the mate has any such meening, but if it does, it would indicate that the loviet themselves now recognize a definite limitation on their subversive capacilities as for as Germany is concerned.

Another point mentioned in the tesk assigned se, relates to the influence of Cormunist ideology and classian rational characteristics on the formulation of Coviet objectives. This is really a subject in itself, and I will touch on it only briefly because to do justice to it would take a whole lecture. Communist ideology commutes the formulation of coviet objectives and it is Cormunist telled in the ultimate triumph of their world revolutionary; rogram which guides

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5 their policy decisions.

How large a part Russian characteristics play is a more complex problem, I think. I should say that Marx probably would be surprised if he were tround today to find that Russia proved to be the first home for the divelopment of his theory. Of course, in his day the Tsarist dictatorship seemed to be more firmly anchored than the semewhat weaker typer of povernments of western Europe with which he was then familiar. But after all, Russian temperament with its long record of fatalistic acceptance of a totalitarian form of government may have contributed toward making Eussia a better guines pig for the Communist experiment than Kara could have imagined.

I have been asked also to coment on the effect of changes in top leadership on Soviet plans and programs. Many experts believe that "talin's survival, assuming he keeps effective control of the Polithuro, is a reasonable assurance that the Soviet will not knowingly and deliberately provoke a world war. I am not un expert but I agree with this. Human factors, after all, play a major role in history.

Will Stalin desire to risk, in the mod pashle of war, his assured place in history as the present at Russian? In particular, will he risk all this on a war that he might not outlive, with the probability that even if the war were successful, some younger leader might garner the glory of what he, Stalin, had accomplished? All these are certainly unlikely hypotheses.

I have heard on good authority that Tito has frequently remarked to confidents that he considered Stalin's survival the test guarantee he knew against the risks of a world war.

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

ever, if there should be a change in the top leadershi, in the Aremlin, if Etalin should lore his grip, if there should be a citier contest over the succession, then very definite danger signals should go up, and our present appraisals of oviet intentions and likely action should, in my opinion, be subject to thorough review. The oviet has the infinite capatility of quick changes of tactics and possesses the governmental machinery that permits secret changes of policy almost overnight. There never was a more thorough turnsbout than the Ribbentrep-Italin agreement of 1939.

There are certain other factors affectin loviet policy not mentioned in the outline submitted to me, which I wish to touch on. They are factors which might bring about a change in policy and lead towiet to choose the course of hot war.

First, if they felt definitely blocked in their use of subversive and revolutionary tactics, that is, if they felt they couldn't go any in ther with the type of measures they were employing at the time and they saw no inture to it.

Second, if they viewed the early and effective rearmament of Germany as a certainty -- Japanese rearmament would also have itselfect on soviet planning.

It is well to remember that mussia narrowly escaped complete destruction at the hands of dermany at a time when Germany had one hand tied behind its back with other enemies, and also suffered defeat once at the hands of Japan. The coviet will not forget either.

In this connection, let us not for et in our calculations that

Approved For Release 2001102/02/15-61/ArRDP78-04718A000600180012-5

people and to view themselves as the victim of encirclement as we weld the /tlantic Alliance and build up our streegic air bases. There is a lot o propaganda in what they say but there is something that is not propaganda in their cold appraisalof our striking power.

Now you have suggested one other topic that also would take a whole lecture and I will have to pass over it and leave it for some other speaker; namely, United takes aims, methods and programs to counter the attainment of loviet objective. I will give you four points for someone else to fill in:

One, our program sunt te dynamic, not static.

Two, our policies must not be solely policies of defense and containment. They must give us the ability to not where the enemy is weakers, not where he chooses to attack.

Three, they must not be purely military. We must counter in the cold war with the weapons of the cold war.

Four, we must exploit the weakness of a totalitarian system.

During the war I was in Switzerland and had an inside view of what was soing on in Sermany. I think rost of the American prople and the people of the Western world were utterly flatbergest I when the facale was taken off the Newi system and we saw what was behind it and its weaknesses. I cannot redict it is as bad in the Soviet, but it is not nearly as good as we think it is.

Finally, I wish to conclude with a word of caution, as an intelligence officer:

The logic of past performance and the interpretation of

Approved For Release 2001/03/02:15 | A RDR 78:04718A000600180012-5

will not take an early initiative in attacking the West Ly overt military means. Nothing, however, would be more fatal for the intelligence officer or the planner than to discount any possibilities where the Toviet is concerned, or to fail to kee; an open wind to any new intelligence which might point to a change in this analysis. Excluding the last minute magic messages, there was little intelligence in Tecember 1939 to point to a Fearl Earlor, but that is what we got.

We live in a world where a cidents and surprises are the rule. We face an antagonist capable of quick and ecret decision and rapid action.

NWC-52 copy 3 59354 L Dulles D88 Soviet objectives.

18. 18. 48

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04718A000600180012-5